




The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides)

Marc Morano

Download now

Read Online ➔

The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides)

Marc Morano

The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides) Marc Morano
Less freedom. More regulation. Higher costs. Make no mistake: those are the surefire consequences of the modern global warming campaign waged by political and cultural elites, who have long ago abandoned fact-based science for dramatic fearmongering in order to push increased central planning. *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change* gives a voice -- backed by statistics, real-life stories, and incontrovertible evidence -- to the millions of "deplorable" Americans skeptical about the multibillion dollar "climate change" complex, whose claims have time and time again been proven wrong.

The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides) Details

Date : Published February 26th 2018 by Regnery Publishing

ISBN :

Author : Marc Morano

Format : Kindle Edition

Genre : Science, Nonfiction, Politics



[Download The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change \(The ...pdf](#)



[Read Online The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change \(Th ...pdf](#)

Download and Read Free Online The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides) Marc Morano

From Reader Review The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change (The Politically Incorrect Guides) for online ebook

Carole says

I'm not a scientist, but it has never made sense to me that what we as humans do on earth could control or have much of an effect on global climate. Marc Morano explains it all in this book--about the lack of science, and the hysteria surrounding so-called "global warming," which is not happening at all. A very interesting read!

Nelson C says

Great book! Very detailed and came at the issue from many sides. For me the clincher in the argument is simply that, seeing as the climate is always changing, it is impossible to predict what the impact will be and how it will change. It is indisputable that the weather is very difficult to predict - anyone who follows the weather knows that forecasts are very often wrong - so these supposed models are merely speculation. Therefore, does it make sense to advocate going back to the stone age (so-to-speak) on the basis of a mere possibility? Does it make sense to make lives harder and more expensive for people for a mere possibility? People are more important than abstract possibilities. This may be hyperbole but it is entirely reasonable to conclude that environmentalism has become a death cult that hates humanity and wants to see us dead. For them the greatest ideal is that the planet go on without us. Now this is a depressing "religion" as the only hope of redemption is a meaningless death. Doesn't seem particularly appealing to me.

Hazel Bright says

This is the guy whose claim to fame is that he was the first to publish the Swift Boat lie. His "expertise" in this matter is that he is just another paid mouthpiece like the toads he has worked for, including Rush Limbaugh, who gets paid to be a blowhard, and Jim Inhofe, who gets his campaign money from oil, natural gas, and coal companies that received \$369 billion, \$121 billion, and \$104 billion (2010 dollars) worth of subsidies in return. If you believe this guy, you probably believed the tobacco companies when they had guys like this saying the same thing about smoking and cancer. But no, actually, you would probably not be saying anything, because you would be dead.

Robert Bor says

Treasure trove of hundreds of verifiable facts about climate change. Read it for research purposes.

Dale says

I've read this book twice now in the last two weeks.

It's brilliant. I recommend it highly.

I was in high school and then studying physics in college during the height of the "Coming Ice Age" and global cooling scare in the 1970s. And I was consumed with the theories and the data. But there was no political discussion about how to warm the earth to save us.

Then less than 10 years after the argument went cold (pun intended), the scientists took the 180° opposite position of global warming. But this time, it was politicized. And we have committed trillions of dollars to try and keep the temperature from rising 0.5°.

This book does an excellent job tracing the history of the controversy, but it's laid out thematically rather than chronologically, and I find that easier to follow.

This is a tremendous reference book for anyone who wants to refute the Chicken Littles of the anthropogenic global warming crowd.

Bill Giles says

Well written and very eye-opening. Marc Morano's credentials are impeccable on this topic. He clearly reveals the blatant lies and misinformation being taught to our children in school. Such a shame when an organized effort by our government and their academic allies is revealed. It seems, once again, that truth is the victim when it interferes with the agenda.

G. Coleman Alderson says

Excellent compendium on the whole scope of this progressive movement to gain global dominance and fill the coffers of the UN with carbon offset \$\$\$.

An open minded read of this material should at least provoke questions, which of course is why it's titled as such.

Great job, Marc Morano!

William Kyle Spratt says

Wish I could give this six stars.

mary vermont says

I'm sorry I no longer watch the news and for the same reason I can not read this book. It's not the author, I just simply can not read or listen to the bold faced lies of the liberals. I hope they all go to hell, which exactly what they are making our country all for the very selfish reason of filling their pockets with tax payer money

Jason Pratt says

Good coverage on the political/social/human side of the AGW debate, not so much on the scientific side. Exposes the unfortunate issues with institutional bias and political pressure in climate science today.

Tana says

In my opinion, if the information in this book doesn't make you angry, then you aren't paying attention. The author shows how climatologists have been manipulating the data to match their predictions. In science, you are supposed to use the data to make your predictions more accurate. Also, the last 20 years of data on the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere vs temperature have shown no correlation between the two. The climate models being used are not accurate. None of the predictions made by climatologists have been accurate. I can still remember back when I was in high school that climatologists were predicting a new ice age and we were all going to freeze from global cooling. The Global-Warming-Climate-Change crowd will not tolerate any kind of dissent. No matter what they say, this is NOT settled science. Yes, our climate is getting warmer, but there has been a pause in the warming so that in the last 20 years, the amount of warming is so small that it falls into the zone of rounding errors. The Climate Change movement is a political/religious movement, not a scientific one, which is why they call the folks who don't agree with them "heretics" and try to shut them up. If they truly believe that science supports their hypothesis that the current warming trend in our climate is primarily caused by human activities instead of other factors such as the variability of sun spots and the earth's natural warming/cooling cycles that have been occurring for millennia then they should welcome the scientific debate. Having someone challenge your ideas can make you find weaknesses in your own hypothesis and give you the opportunity to improve them and create more accurate models. The Global-Warming-Climate-Change crowd is doing their cause a disservice by not welcoming the scientific debate.
